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As the economy has continued to reopen over the summer, the labour market has continued to surprise on the upside. After peaking at 5.2 per cent in Q4 2020, the headline unemployment rate has continued to fall, reaching 4.7 per cent in Q2 2021 – and timelier, though more volatile, single-month data has the unemployment rate at 4.4 per cent, just 0.4 per cent above pre-pandemic levels. Even real-time employee jobs data from HM Revenue & Customs (HMRC) payroll data, which has shown a greater hit to the labour market than the headline unemployment rate, suggests that employee jobs are just 0.7 per cent below the pre-crisis peak. And the outlook for the very short term appears positive: vacancies have recovered to or surpassed pre-crisis levels, even in those sectors hardest-hit by the pandemic.

The next challenge for the labour market, however, will be the end of the Job Retention Scheme in less than four weeks’ time. Official forecasts throughout the pandemic have predicted a rise in unemployment after the scheme ends – but in August, the Bank of England Monetary Policy Report suggested that unemployment hold steady over the next few months, before resuming its fall. In this Outlook’s spotlight, we look at the prospects for unemployment in the months ahead. Our ‘Lifting the Lid’ section explores economic inactivity, the recovery in local labour markets, and the disproportionate fall in employment among people working fewer than 16 hours.

**Spotlight | Prospects for unemployment after the Job Retention Scheme**

The Coronavirus Job Retention Scheme (JRS) has been a key policy success of this crisis, protecting over 11 million jobs since March 2020. It has held down unemployment, which peaked at just 5.2 per cent last autumn – and through extensions as the pandemic pressed on, the JRS has supported a gradual return to work as the economy has begun to recover, avoiding the unemployment spike risked by a premature end to the scheme.

Over the course of the crisis, official forecasts from the Office for Budget Responsibility (OBR) and Bank of England have become more and more optimistic. In July 2020, for example, the OBR’s central scenario saw unemployment peak at more than 10 per cent; by March 2021, the expected peak had fallen to 6.5 per cent, lower than in any recent recession. But the latest Bank of England forecasts are even more optimistic: according to the August Monetary Policy Report, the Covid-19 unemployment peak could already be behind us, with unemployment reaching just 4.7 per cent in the final quarter of this year. This would be a remarkable, and extremely welcome, outcome for a crisis that saw the largest GDP fall in 300 years. But with an estimated 1.7 million employees still furloughed in late July – just two months before the end of the scheme – this spotlight considers whether this a plausible outcome, and whether policy makers should still be concerned about the prospects for the labour market.

Not only is the outlook for the labour market uncertain, but that uncertainty comes from multiple sources. Firstly, the number of people who will be on furlough by the end of the JRS is unclear. Furlough rates have been steadily falling since January; rising employer contributions since July should, in theory, already have
discouraged businesses from keeping people on furlough; and redundancy notifications submitted to the Insolvency Service show no sign of a similar rise to last summer. But survey data suggests that the fall has recently halted—and furlough rates remain elevated in sectors like international travel and in local areas such as London and city centres, where changes in commuting patterns and lower high street footfall could signal longer-term changes in demand. It is certainly possible that further falls in furlough rates, coupled with a step-change move back into work when the scheme ends, will hold back post-JRS job losses—but it is nonetheless likely that at least some workers will be let go when support is withdrawn at the end of September.

Secondly, we do not know how many of those who lose their current job will become unemployed. Prior to the pandemic, more than two-fifths (42 per cent) of people who had been made redundant in the last three months were already employed in a different job, with just over a third (35 per cent) becoming unemployed. Post-redundancy moves into unemployment have risen slightly during the pandemic, but still less than two-in-five (39 per cent) redundancies during the crisis led directly to unemployment. And the unemployment rate has also been declining since the start of the year, helped by record-high vacancies, and may continue to do so: the single-month unemployment estimate (a relatively timely, albeit volatile measure) was just 4.4 per cent in June.

With so many moving parts, there is a wide range of possible unemployment outcomes when the furlough scheme ends. In Figure 1, we present three of these potential scenarios with very different consequences for unemployment, as described in Table 1.

### Table 1: The outlook for unemployment later this year could vary widely

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assumptions</th>
<th>Scenario 1</th>
<th>Scenario 2</th>
<th>Scenario 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Path of unemployment before the end of September</strong></td>
<td>unemploymet falls to 4.1% (extrapolating recent single-month estimates)</td>
<td>unemploymet falls to 4.6% (extrapolating recent three-month estimates)</td>
<td>unemployment remains at 4.7% (current three-month rate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Path of furlough before the end of September</strong></td>
<td>everyone on furlough returns to their current job (i.e. numbers fall to zero)</td>
<td>furlough continues to fall at the same rate as April-July</td>
<td>furlough continues to fall at the same rate as June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proportion of fully-furloughed workers who are made redundant</strong></td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proportion of partially-furloughed workers who are made redundant</strong></td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Economic activity of redundant workers</strong></td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>2017-2019 rates (42% employed, 35% unemployed, 22% inactive)</td>
<td>workers 10 ppts more likely to become unemployed than 2017-2019 (32% employed, 45% unemployed, 22% inactive)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>October unemployment outcome</strong></td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTES:** Trends in furlough rates are extrapolated separately for full and partial furlough, using rates at the end of the relevant months, using HMRC JRS statistics until the end of June and further changes implied by the ONS Business Insights and Conditions Survey (BICS) thereafter.

**SOURCE:** RF analysis of ONS, Labour Force Survey; HMRC, Coronavirus Job Retention Scheme statistics; ONS, Business insights and impact on the UK economy.

Scenario 1 presents the most optimistic view, where the unemployment rate could fall to 4.1 per cent by October. Under the more central Scenario 2, unemployment could reach 4.9 per cent—a rise of around 150,000 people compared to its current level (and slightly higher than the Bank’s August forecast), but not surpassing its Q4 2020 peak. Finally, Scenario 3 is the most pessimistic: in this case, unemployment could reach 5.5 per cent,
above the Q4 2020 peak (though still a full percentage point below the 6.5 per cent predicted by the OBR in March). These scenarios represent just three possible outcomes. But they make the point that while the outlook for unemployment looks far more benign than most hoped for earlier in the pandemic, a rise in unemployment is a very real prospect – and it is not inconceivable that unemployment has yet to peak.

FIGURE 1: Under some reasonable scenarios, unemployment could rise later this year

16+ unemployment rate (single-month estimates), outturn and possible scenarios for October 2021: UK

NOTES: See Table 1 for full details of each scenario.
SOURCE: RF analysis of ONS, Labour Force Survey; HMRC, Coronavirus Job Retention Scheme statistics; ONS, Business insights and impact on the UK economy.

When considering the likely scale of moves from furlough into unemployment, it is also key to consider the profile of people currently on furlough. Age continues to be a significant factor: while under-25s have been the age group most likely to be on furlough for most of the crisis, over-65s have recently become the group with the highest furlough rates – and most likely to have been furloughed for long periods of time – putting them at higher risk of job losses when the scheme ends. Older workers also suffer more severe consequences from losing their jobs than other age groups: over-55s are less likely than younger workers to return to work within six months of becoming unemployed, and tend to take a substantial pay cut when they do return. And as Figure 2 shows, the likelihood of leaving the labour force entirely after a redundancy increases with age. This means that while unemployment might not rise among older workers, employment is likely to fall nonetheless.
FIGURE 2: Older workers are the least likely to move into unemployment after being made redundant

Economic activity of people who have been made redundant in the last three months, by age: UK, 2017-2019


Perhaps surprisingly, accounting for the age patterns shown in Figure 2 does not have significant implications for potential moves out of work after the JRS ends. Although the large numbers of older workers on furlough suggests there will be more moves into inactivity (and fewer into unemployment), this is counterbalanced by younger workers – who are more likely to move into unemployment – still having relatively high furlough rates. But while we have not yet seen evidence of the crisis pushing large numbers of older workers into early retirement, policy makers should remain cautious. Workers aged 45+ are more likely than other age groups to have been furloughed for long periods of time, possibly leading to skills depreciation that could put them at a disadvantage on the jobs market, and rising asset prices may make an early departure from the labour force more attractive to those approaching retirement age and struggling to find work.

If significant numbers lose their jobs at the end of this month, will the labour market be able to absorb them all? Vacancies have recovered to pre-pandemic levels, and despite reports of labour shortages in some sectors, jobs are still being filled faster than before the crisis. As Figure 3 shows, total job starts (including both job-to-job moves and inflows from unemployment and inactivity into employment) reached a record high in Q2 2021. If furlough off-flows were to be added to these levels of job starts in October, this would imply job starts surpassing 2 million for the first time since records began in the early 2000s; if other job starts returned to 2019 levels, overall starts (including flows from furlough) would still be higher than the pre-Covid-19 peak. With matching frictions already creating a hiring bottleneck, it may be challenging for the labour market to immediately absorb such high levels of job moves if they materialise.
FIGURE 3: **Job starts are already at their highest levels on record**

Total job starts, outturn and possible scenario for Q4 2021: UK

NOTES: Total job starts are the sum of job-to-job moves and moves from unemployment/inactivity into employment. The example of 130,000 furloughed workers moving jobs is the number implied by Scenario 2 in Figure 1, i.e. furlough rates continue to fall in line with April-July trends, half of fully-furloughed workers and a quarter of partially-furloughed workers lose their jobs, and 42 per cent of those who are made redundant move into a new job in the next quarter (in line with pre-pandemic flows of those who were made redundant in the last three months).


Unemployed workers in some sectors may also find it more difficult to find a new job than in others. Figure 4 shows that in June, there were still more unemployed workers per vacancy overall than there were in 2019, but the picture varies substantially by sector: in hospitality, for example, a boom in vacancies had pushed the number of unemployed people for each vacancy almost back to pre-pandemic levels by Q2 2021, whereas in transport and storage, there were more than two unemployed people for each vacancy, well above the rate before the crisis.

Any further rises in unemployment are also likely to be sectorally uneven. The dashed bars in Figure 4 add the number of furloughed workers in June to the number of unemployed people in each sector as a rough measure of the amount of slack in each industry by June. While the levels of furloughed workers will decline before the end of September, the sectoral distribution is likely to continue to vary widely, leaving some sectors with far more unemployed people per vacancy than others. (While vacancies may also increase, experimental ONS estimates of online job adverts suggest that vacancies may have stopped rising, remaining broadly flat over July and August.) This could lead to a higher unemployment rate at least in the short term, while the labour market adjusts. And in some sectors like retail, job losses could reflect a longer-term structural shift, requiring workers to move to a different sector – and since workers in the sectors hardest-hit by the crisis have been more likely to seek work in other hard-hit sectors, this could increase the burden on sectors already experiencing the biggest rises in post-JRS jobseekers.
Finally, the focus of this spotlight has been the impending end of the JRS – but there are other changes in the labour market that will increase labour supply. The end of the 2020/21 academic year brings a new cohort of education leavers into the labour market, including some who have stayed in education for an extra year to ride out the storm of the pandemic. Labour force participation could rise, reversing an increase in inactivity (explored further in this Outlook’s ‘Lifting the Lid’ section), for example as discouraged workers move back into the labour force. And lastly, the Self-Employment Income Support Scheme is also coming to an end; given the disproportionate hit to self-employed workers during the crisis, some of the self-employed whose demand has not recovered may also enter unemployment as support ends.

Overall, then, it certainly seems plausible that we have passed the peak of unemployment, reflecting the extraordinary success of the JRS in keeping people in their jobs over the past 18 months as well as supporting incomes, alongside the strong recovery in the economy. But while the challenge is set to be far smaller than many expected, policy makers should not be complacent: unemployment is likely to rise, at least temporarily, when the JRS comes to an end. Employment support schemes like Kickstart and Restart will remain important to support vulnerable groups, as well as wider job search support and retraining where necessary. Macroeconomic policy should remain supportive, stimulating demand to ensure the recovery continues to take hold. And for those who do lose their jobs, adequate income support through the benefit system will be crucial to maintaining living standards later this year.
Lifting the lid | The picture across different groups and areas

Here we explore a few of the most interesting developments for different groups of workers and different parts of the country. A comprehensive breakdown of each indicator is available online: resolutionfoundation.org/earningsoutlook

FIGURE 5: Change in the number of people who are economically inactive, by reason: UK, Dec-Feb 2020 to Apr-Jun 2021

NOTES: Seasonally adjusted.

While most of the focus on joblessness during the crisis has centred around unemployment, others leave the labour force entirely and become economically inactive. Figure 5 shows the increase in economic inactivity during the pandemic, broken down by reason. By far the biggest increase has been among people not working due to being a full-time student (up 351,000 since Dec-Feb 2020), which may be linked to the rise in education participation during the crisis or students being less likely to also have a job. Smaller increases have also shown up in the ‘other reasons’ category (up 124,000) – which would include people who are inactive for some Covid-19-related reasons, such as to avoid exposure to the virus – and among those who are retired (up 80,000). On the other hand, the only decline in economic inactivity has been among those who are ‘looking after family / home’. The Bank of England has suggested this could be thanks to remote working make it easier to balance work and family life; less optimistically, it could reflect second earners entering the labour market to make up for a pandemic-induced shock to household income.

In July, employee estimates from HMRC real-time information included breakdowns at NUTS3 geography for the first time, providing fine-grained data on how employee jobs have fared in different local areas of the UK. As Figure 6 shows, there is a wide range in sub-regional labour market performance: in July 2021, the strongest-performing area had seen a 2.5 per cent increase in employee jobs since the start of the crisis, while three areas were still down more than 5 per cent on pre-pandemic levels. Notably, all five regions with the largest employee falls were in London. Recent Resolution Foundation research has shown that this is not just a result of London’s industrial mix; instead, a key driver is that people are still travelling to city centres less than before the pandemic, whether for work or leisure.
Pandemic-induced job losses have not been evenly-distributed, with necessary social distancing restrictions have much more of an impact on sectors where face-to-face work is more common. But Figure 7 shows another interesting divide: the number of people who usually work less than 16 hours fell by 16 per cent in early 2021 compared to before the pandemic, even as there has been a move (particularly among women) from part-time to full-time work. This may be driven by the characteristics of those who have left employment. We know, for example, that people in insecure work, such as zero-hours contracts, are more likely than other workers to have lost their jobs in the crisis. And the youngest and oldest workers, who tend to work the fewest hours, have been more likely to either lose their jobs or leave the labour force entirely – younger workers to study and older workers to retire (Figure 5). The number of people working less than 16 hours has begun to recover slightly, and the vacancies boom in sectors like hospitality may yet provide more opportunities for people who want to work fewer hours. But if further employment recovery in the months to come is concentrated among those on shorter-hours contracts, this will have a smaller effect on total hours worked, which are still down 4 per cent on pre-pandemic levels.

### Figure 6: Change in paid employees since February 2020, trough and July 2021, NUTS3 regions with the highest and lowest changes since the start of the Covid-19 pandemic: UK

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Change 2021 to 2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blackburn with Darwen</td>
<td>-2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Lancashire</td>
<td>+2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fermanagh and Omagh</td>
<td>-1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lisburn and Castlereagh</td>
<td>+2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ards and North Down</td>
<td>-1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>+2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kensington &amp; Chelsea and Hammersmith &amp; Fulham</td>
<td>-3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hounslow and Richmond upon Thames</td>
<td>+0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haringey and Islington</td>
<td>-0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brent</td>
<td>-3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ealing</td>
<td>-3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>-2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kensington &amp; Chelsea and Hammersmith &amp; Fulham</td>
<td>-1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hounslow and Richmond upon Thames</td>
<td>-1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haringey and Islington</td>
<td>-2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brent</td>
<td>-1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ealing</td>
<td>-2.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTES: Figures are residence-based.

SOURCE: RF analysis of ONS/HMRC, Earnings and employment from Pay As You Earn Real Time Information.
FIGURE 7: Number of people in employment (Dec-Feb 2020 = 100), by usual weekly hours of work in main job: UK

Endnotes

1 The Bank’s relative optimism compared to previous forecasts is the result of both a positive outlook for GDP in their macroeconomic modelling and promising labour market indicators such as a strong recovery in job vacancies. The minutes of the Monetary Policy Committee meeting do, however, acknowledge significant uncertainty around the end of the JRS.

2 In this spotlight, we do not explicitly consider a scenario where restrictions or lockdowns are re-imposed. This would have significant implications for the labour market – and the Government should extend or reintroduce support if this were the case.

3 It is worth noting that only businesses planning 20 or more redundancies need to notify the Insolvency Service, while the profile of furloughed workers is increasingly skewed towards smaller businesses that would tend to make fewer redundancies each.

4 We note that some people who are back in work within three months may spend a short time out of work in the interim, but due to available data we use these rates as a proxy. Given that this data covers those who have been made redundant at any point within the last three months, we assume that the average length of time since redundancy among this group is 1.5 months.

5 The remaining 22 per cent moved into economic inactivity (proportions do not sum to 100 per cent due to rounding). Analysis covers calendar years 2017-2019. Source: RF analysis of ONS, Labour Force Survey.

6 A further 36 per cent were employed in another job and 25 per cent became economically inactive. Analysis covers Q2 2020-Q2 2021. Source: RF analysis of ONS, Labour Force Survey.

7 In fact, the economic activity of people who become redundant is almost identical after reweighting for the age of workers currently furloughed: the shares in employment and unemployment fall by 0.5 percentage point, and the share in economic inactivity rises by 1.0 percentage point.

8 The ‘Lifting the Lid’ section explores changes in inactivity since the start of the crisis in more detail. Looking ahead to retirement intentions, the Institute for Fiscal Studies has found that while 5 per cent of older workers plan to retire earlier than before the pandemic, 8 per cent plan to retire later. Moreover, Resolution Foundation research based on a survey fielded in January 2021 found that older workers who had been furloughed were less likely to plan to leave the labour force after the pandemic than their counterparts who had not been furloughed.
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Median employee earnings

In the most recent data, real median pay grew by 3.6%. Pay growth picked up in the second half of 2020, largely due to compositional factors. Our all worker earnings measure is based on pre-pandemic data, so the falling gap does not reflect changes in self-employment earnings since the crisis.

Pay growth was 3.1 ppts higher as a result of compositional effects. Our Q1 2021 Outlook explored underlying pay growth in more detail. Median year-on-year real hourly pay growth for employees in work over a year (both job stayers and changers) stood at 1.7% in Q1 2021, 1.1 ppts lower than the previous year.

Our headline measures of earnings inequality continue to fall, but low paid workers have been more likely to face reductions to hours and pay or to have lost work.

All worker earnings

Unemployment by duration

The unemployment rate fell to 4.7% in the latest data, but remains 0.7 ppts higher than a year earlier. Long-term unemployment is up 0.6 ppts on the year.

Under-employment has risen significantly in the crisis, likely due to employers making hours reductions in the face of weak demand and supply constraints, but fell in the first half of 2021.

The proportion of workers voluntarily moving job (an indicator of worker confidence) has returned to pre-pandemic levels after falling sharply in 2020.

The proportion of jobs going to new migrants fell during the crisis but recovered to pre-pandemic levels in Q2 2021.

Earnings comp.

Job-to-job moves

The labour force participation rate of 18-69-year-olds fell to 76.5% in Q4 2020. The ‘Lifting the Lid’ section explores the reasons behind rising inactivity.

Hourly productivity rose sharply in Q3 2020, possibly for compositional reasons (people in lower-productivity sectors losing their jobs), but has since fallen.

The long-term trend in falling ‘off-the-job’ training has flattened out, but the proportion of workers receiving such training remains low – a potential drag on productivity.

The proportion of graduates in non-graduate roles (a measure of mismatched demand and supply of skills) has fallen over the past year, and stands at 35.3%.

Pay rises

Migrant job entry

Earnings Inequality
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